AJLLSE GLOBAL RESEARCH NETWORK

AMERICAN Journal of Language, Literacy and Learning in STEM Education
Volume 4, Issue 01, 2026 ISSN (E): 2993-2769

Formative Assessment in English Teaching: Improving Learning Outcomes

Irgasheva Nasibakhon Dadajanovna
Senior teacher of Andijan state technical institute

Abstract. *Formative assessment has become one of the most powerful drivers of progress in English language teaching (ELT) because it makes learning visible while it is still in progress. Unlike summative assessment, which judges achievement at the end of a unit, formative assessment supports learners during learning through timely feedback, clear success criteria, and purposeful adjustments to instruction. This article explains the concept and key principles of formative assessment in ELT, demonstrates practical classroom techniques for assessing speaking, listening, reading, and writing, and discusses how formative assessment improves motivation, autonomy, and measurable learning outcomes. It also addresses common challenges—large classes, time limitations, exam pressure, and reliability—and offers realistic solutions. The article concludes that effective formative assessment is not an additional burden but a teaching mindset: eliciting evidence of learning, interpreting it accurately, and acting on it to move learners forward.*

Key words: *formative assessment, ELT, feedback, learning outcomes, rubrics, self-assessment, peer assessment, assessment for learning.*

Introduction.

In modern English language teaching, assessment is no longer viewed only as a tool for grading students or ranking performance. Increasingly, assessment is understood as an essential part of instruction that can support learning, guide teaching decisions, and build learner independence. Among different forms of assessment, formative assessment has attracted special attention because research and classroom experience consistently show that high-quality feedback and ongoing monitoring of progress can significantly improve student outcomes [1]. In many EFL contexts, learners have limited exposure to English outside the classroom, and teachers often have restricted time to develop all language skills. Under these conditions, formative assessment becomes a practical solution: it helps teachers identify gaps early, respond to learner needs, and create a learning environment where students continuously improve rather than waiting for a final test to discover problems. Formative assessment is especially relevant in the 21st century because education systems increasingly emphasize competency-based learning, communication skills, creativity, collaboration, and critical thinking [2]. English classrooms are expected to develop not only grammar knowledge but also the ability to use English in real situations. However, if teachers focus only on end-of-term examinations, learners may become “test-trained” but not truly communicatively competent. Formative assessment bridges this gap by aligning daily classroom activities with clear learning goals and by providing learners with frequent information about what they can do now and what they should do next. This article explores how formative assessment works, why it improves learning outcomes, and how teachers can implement it effectively across language skills. Formative assessment is often defined as the process of collecting evidence of student learning during instruction in order to improve teaching and learning [3,4].

The key idea is action: formative assessment is not just giving a quiz or asking questions; it becomes formative when the teacher (and ideally the learner) uses the evidence to make decisions that move learning forward. In other words, formative assessment is "assessment for learning," while summative assessment is "assessment of learning." In ELT, formative assessment can be formal (short quizzes, checklists, drafts of writing) or informal (teacher observation, questioning, exit tickets, quick speaking checks). The purpose of formative assessment in English teaching includes: identifying learner strengths and needs; clarifying learning targets and success criteria; providing feedback that is specific and useful; encouraging learner reflection and responsibility; and adapting instruction to ensure progress for all learners. For language learning, where development is gradual and skills interact, formative assessment is essential because it captures learning as a process rather than a single result [5-8].

A student may improve pronunciation but still struggle with grammar accuracy; another may read well but lack confidence in speaking. Formative assessment allows teachers to detect these patterns and respond. A practical way to view formative assessment is through a continuous cycle: (1) set clear objectives; (2) elicit evidence of learning; (3) interpret evidence accurately; (4) provide feedback and adjust instruction; (5) help learners reflect and plan next steps; (6) recheck progress. When this cycle becomes routine, learners improve more consistently and teachers teach more effectively. Formative assessment should rely on multiple sources of evidence over time: observations, speaking samples, drafts, comprehension checks, vocabulary notebooks, mini-presentations, and interactive tasks. This reduces unfairness and provides a more accurate picture of development. First, it increases the amount of learning-relevant feedback learners receive. Instead of waiting until the end of a unit, students get guidance while they can still revise and improve [9,10].

Second, it helps teachers make better instructional decisions. If many learners misunderstand a grammar point or struggle with a listening task, the teacher can reteach, adjust materials, provide additional scaffolding, or change grouping. Third, formative assessment strengthens motivation by making progress visible. When students see small improvements—using new vocabulary correctly, speaking longer, writing clearer sentences—they become more confident and engaged. Fourth, formative assessment supports equity. In mixed-ability classrooms, differentiated feedback and personalized next steps help both strong and weak learners improve. Finally, formative assessment supports long-term achievement, including exam performance, because it builds stable skills rather than short-term memorization. Speaking is often the hardest skill to assess, especially in large classes. However, formative assessment can be integrated into speaking tasks without taking excessive time. One effective method is the use of simple speaking rubrics with 3–4 criteria such as fluency, intelligibility (pronunciation), vocabulary range, and accuracy. The teacher can observe small groups and record quick notes using a checklist. Peer assessment can also support speaking development. For example, after pair discussions, students can use a short checklist: "My partner used at least three opinion phrases," "My partner asked one follow-up question," "My partner spoke clearly."

This encourages active listening and goal-based speaking. To keep peer assessment supportive, teachers should model constructive comments and use sentence stems: "One thing you did well was…," "One thing to improve is…," "Next Self-assessment through audio recordings is highly effective. Students can record a short speaking task on a phone, listen, and identify one strength and one weakness. Over time, learners can compare recordings and notice progress in fluency and pronunciation. Teachers can also collect occasional recordings as evidence of growth. Formative assessment in listening should move beyond multiple-choice questions. Teachers can check comprehension through quick tasks such as "listen and sequence," "listen and match," or "listen and complete a diagram." Exit tickets are useful: after a listening activity, students write the main idea and one detail. Another approach is confidence-based checks: students indicate whether they are sure or unsure about their answers; the teacher then targets support where uncertainty Listening journals can promote autonomy. Learners choose short listening materials (videos, podcasts for learners) and write brief reflections: what they understood, new vocabulary, and one question. The teacher provides short feedback, not correcting everything, but guiding strategy use: "Try listening once for the main idea, then again for details," or "Pause and repeat difficult sections." For reading, formative assessment should emphasize strategies as well as answers. Teachers can assess skimming, scanning,

inference, and summarizing through tasks like "find three key words," "write a one-sentence summary," "guess meaning from context," and "underline evidence in the text." Annotated reading is helpful: students mark unfamiliar words, main ideas, and supporting details. Reading conferences provide strong formative support: the teacher briefly talks with a student about a text, asking questions like "What is the main message?" "Which part was difficult?" "What strategy did you use?" Even short conferences (2–3 minutes) can reveal a lot Writing naturally fits formative assessment because writing improves through drafting and revision. A formative approach to writing uses stages: planning, first draft, feedback, revision, and final version. Teachers can use rubrics that separate content, organization, vocabulary, grammar, and mechanics.

Importantly, feedback should be manageable. Instead of correcting every error, teachers can focus on patterns: for example, verb tense consistency, sentence structure, or linking words. Peer review supports writing when guided carefully. Students can use checklists: "Is there a clear topic sentence?" "Are there at least two supporting ideas?" "Are linking words used?" "Is the conclusion clear?". Self-editing checklists help learners develop independence. Before submitting, students check: subject-verb agreement, capitalization, punctuation, paragraph structure, and required vocabulary. Over time, this reduces repeated mistakes and improves accuracy. Formative Assessment Tools and Techniques. Teacher questioning is one of the simplest formative tools. Effective questions go beyond recall and encourage explanation: "Why do you think the character did that?" "What is another way to say this sentence?" "Which word in the text supports your answer?" Wait time is important: giving students a few seconds increases participation and quality. "No-hands questioning" (selecting students randomly) can increase accountability. Exit tickets are short tasks at the end of a lesson that show what learners understood. Examples include: write one new word with a sentence; write the rule in your own words; summarize the listening in one sentence; write one question you still have. Teachers can sort exit tickets quickly and plan the next lesson based on common gaps. Rubrics make expectations transparent and feedback consistent. In ELT, analytic rubrics (separate criteria) are often more useful than holistic ones because they show learners exactly what to improve. Checklists are simpler and work well for daily tasks. For large classes, short rubrics with three levels (developing, competent, strong) reduce workload and still provide clarity. Learning Portfolios.

A portfolio is a collection of learner work over time: writing drafts, speaking recordings, reading logs, vocabulary lists, and reflections. Portfolios support formative assessment because they show growth, not just final performance. They also encourage reflection: learners can write what improved, what remains difficult, and what strategies helped. Peer and Self-Assessment Peer and self-assessment are not substitutes for teacher assessment, but they multiply feedback and build autonomy. For reliability, teachers should provide models, clear criteria, and practice sessions. The goal is not perfect accuracy but meaningful reflection and improvement. Many teachers worry that formative assessment takes too much time. The solution is to embed assessment into existing activities rather than adding extra tasks. For example, during pair speaking, the teacher observes and ticks a checklist. During reading, students produce a one-sentence summary that can be reviewed quickly. Teachers can also use "sampling": assess a subset of students each lesson so that over a week everyone receives attention. Informal assessment may feel subjective.

Clear criteria and simple rubrics increase fairness. Teachers can keep brief records of observations to support consistency. In many contexts, exams dominate. Formative assessment still helps because it builds the skills that exams measure and also develops deeper competence. Teachers can align formative tasks with exam formats while keeping learning-focused feedback. For example, if an exam includes reading comprehension questions, formative assessment can include strategy training and explanation of wrong answers rather than just scoring. Some learners are used to teacher-centered grading and may distrust peer assessment or self-assessment. To address this, teachers should explain purposes clearly and demonstrate how formative assessment improves results. Starting small helps: one simple checklist, one short reflection, one peer comment. As students experience improvement, acceptance grows.

Literatures

1. H.T. Omonov, N.Kh. Kho`jayev, S.A. Madyorova, E.U. Eshchonov “Pedagogical technologies and pedagogical skills” Tashkent “Economy-finance” 2009.
2. Sodir Isamitdinov, Dilfuza Madgofurova, Dilorom Abdullayeva, Hilola Mardonova. “Fundamentals of pedagogy and psychology” Tashkent 2014.
3. R. Mavlonova, O. Tu`rayeva, K. Xolberdiev “Pedagogy” Teacher Tashkent 2013.
4. Black, P., & Wiliam, D. (1998). Assessment and classroom learning. Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice, 5(1), 7–74.
5. Brookhart, S. M. (2017). How to give effective feedback to your students (2nd ed.). ASCD.
6. Brown, H. D. (2004). Language assessment: Principles and classroom practices. Pearson.
7. Harmer, J. (2007). The practice of English language teaching (4th ed.). Pearson.
8. Heritage, M. (2010). Formative assessment: Making it happen in the classroom. Corwin.
9. Nation, I. S. P. (2001). Learning vocabulary in another language. Cambridge University Press.